

Comment Number	Date	Location	Commenter	Agency/Affiliation	Comment Verbatim	Disposition
1	5/4/2011	Sacramento	Mike Moncrief	MBK Engineers	Grand Island RD 3 yes; Netherlands RD 999 yes; Merritt RD 150 maybe; Pierson RD 551 maybe	Comment noted.
2	5/4/2011	Sacramento	George Hartman	RD 2074	There is an incongruity with your plan. The reality is we have no way to notify the public. Agency is the City of Stockton and Stockton doesn't have a City OES person and doesn't have Reverse 911.	Comment noted.
3	4/4/2011	Merced	Felipe Rodriguez	City of Stockton Fire Dept.	Consider adding an appendix for guidance on "essential service providers" (page 3, powerpoint handout) information.	Comment noted. Water Code 9651 defines "essential service providers." Local plans may wish to add to that definition for their own plan.
4	4/4/2011	Merced	Felipe Rodriguez	City of Stockton Fire Dept.	Consider adding law enforcement (police) and Fire Departments to the distribution list. Page xviii. They would be heavily involved in the initial stages of response/evacuation.	The distribution list on page xviii includes cities, which inherently include their police and fire departments.
5	5/4/2011	Sacramento	Multiple commenters		If an LMA does not comply with this requirement, does the prohibition on receiving state funding apply only to levee upgrades?	The prohibition on receiving state funding would apply to any improvement.
6	5/4/2011	Sacramento	Mike Moncrief	MBK Engineers	Is compliance with AB 156 requirements going to be checked before an LMA can receive any grant funding from the Flood ER Grant ?	Compliance with AB 156 requirements will be checked before an LMA can receive grant funding from the Flood Emergency Response Grant, yes.
7	4/8/2011	Yuba City	John Gulserian	Butte County Of Emergency Management (OEM)	Excellent document, very helpful. Wish it could be called "Flood Response Plan."	Comment noted. The title of the document was taken directly from the AB 156 legislation for consistency purposes.

8	4/8/2011	Yuba City	John Gulserian	Butte County OEM	<p>Page 6, Section 2.3.1, 1st Para last sentence: <i>A follow-up call from the EOC to the notifying party or agency can be made to obtain further detail.</i> A little confused with this paragraph and last sentence. Is the follow up call from DWR or the jurisdiction/agency that is in the warning area? Confusing since the prior sentence state EOC may not be activated. Maybe the sentence can be re-worded with (if I understand the paragraph correctly), “A follow-up call from the EOC or Duty Officer (on call person) to the notifying party or agency can be made to obtain further detail.</p>	Comment noted. Language revised to insert "local EOC" in both sentences.
9	4/8/2011	Yuba City	John Gulserian	Butte County OEM	<p>Page 9, Section 2.5.4 – The title of this section is <i>Phase IV: Emergency Phase.</i> I would suggest changing this to Phase IV: Emergency Response Phase or just Response Phase. This is more consistent with the Emergency Management Phases and commonly used terminology.</p>	Comment noted. Language revised to "Emergency Response Phase."
10	4/8/2011	Yuba City	John Gulserian	Butte County OEM	<p>Page 11, Section 3.1.2 – <i>The (NAME) County Operational Area Flood Operations are coordinated through its EOC.</i> If the EOC is not activated who would do the coordinating? Possibly add, ...its EOC or Office of Emergency Management.</p>	Comment noted. Details like this item can be customized to reflect individual local needs and customs.

11	4/8/2011	Yuba City	John Gulserian	Butte County OEM	<p>Page 13, Section 4.1 Chief Executive – <i>Responsibility for managing emergency response within these policies and priorities is delegated to the EOC Manager and, on scene, to the Incident Commander(IC) who reports to the EOC Manager.</i> Depending on the jurisdiction/agency I am not sure the EOC Director/Chief Executive would delegate their authority to the EOC Manager. Additionally, an Incident Commander (IC) would not normally report to the EOC Manager. The IC would provide information to the EOC through the respective discipline or function. i.e. the Fire IC would contact or work through the Fire Branch in the EOC. Or a Shelter Manager would coordinate with the Care & Shelter Branch in the EOC.</p>	<p>First item: Under NIMS (National Incident Management System), the language presented is consistent; however, local jurisdictions can customize the plan to better reflect local needs and customs. Second item: language revised.</p>
12	4/8/2011	Yuba City	John Gulserian	Butte County OEM	<p>Section 4, 4.8.1.5, Page 6? [final version page 19]– Maps of Staging Areas and Stockpiles – <i>(Agency/Jurisdiction) has tasked the Administration/Finance Branch with maintaining its inventory of flood response materials at their locations. Details are contained in Section 9.</i> The title mentions maps and stockpiles but no discussion on maps. Normally, the Plans and Intel Situation Status Unit does maps. Inventories of staged materials would be tracked through the Resource Unit or Logistics. Logistics may keep inventories of items that are not deployed or staged. Additionally, it mentions Section 9. There is no section 9 in the sample plan. Would this info be in one of the Appendices?</p>	<p>Comment noted. Language revised and section 9 corrected to section 6.</p>

13	4/8/2011	Yuba City	John Gulserian	Butte County OEM	Section 5, 5.2.1 EAS page 8?[final version page 21]- The Note discusses EAS specifics some of which are considered Confidential or FOUO. In other words, through one means of activating the EAS the requestor must provide a password. This information cannot be in the Flood Plan. Activation protocols, authority and contact points are great. Then mention the procedures are located in the FCC EAS Plan.	Comment noted. Language revised to reflect appropriate security protocol.
14	4/8/2011	Yuba City	John Gulserian	Butte County OEM	Section 6, 6.2, page 14? [final version page 27]– Record Keeping - <i>(Agency/Jurisdiction)</i> is aware of the requirement to retain these records for audit purposes for three years after receiving the last FEMA payment for flood-related expenditures. This should be three years after receiving the Final Close Out Letter. For example, we received our final close out letter for the 97 floods in 2004 and were required to maintain documents three years after the date on the letter. Our last payment under the 97 floods was sometime in 1999.	Comment noted. Language revised.
15	3/25/2011		Rod Mayer	DWR	the guidelines should specifically call out evacuation plans as one of the products to be developed	Comment noted. An evacuation plan is specifically itemized as a necessary component on page v of Part I of the Sample Plan (available in the pdf of the final version).
16	3/25/2011		Rod Mayer	DWR	the evacuation plans should evaluate an array of levee breach scenarios at various locations, determine the depth of flooding versus time throughout the area, and plan the evacuation routes accordingly (Sacramento County has developed and posted such maps for Natomas) -- so there would be multiple evacuation plans consistent with multiple levee breach locations	Comment noted. Inserted as a sixth bullet in the note at the top of page 42 [page 55 of final version].

17	3/25/2011		Rod Mayer	DWR	flood fight plans should evaluate opportunities for delaying or containing the spread of flood waters after a breach and develop reasonably detailed plans to accomplish the same (such as using an elevated roadway or rail line as a second line of defense, plugging culverts and underpasses) for various levee breach locations	Comment noted. Inserted as a first note on page 36 [final version page 49] section C.2 after paragraph 1.
18	3/25/2011		Rod Mayer	DWR	relief cuts should be evaluated and planned for being made immediately after a levee breach (long before the breach could be repaired) to limit flooding in the area -- with specific location, length, depth, equipment required, contractors, and excavation rates. I think that in most levee breach scenarios it will make sense to make a relief cut at the downstream end of the flooded area immediately.	Comment noted. Inserted as a second note on page 36 [final version page 49] section C.2 after the 10 bullet points.
19	3/25/2011		Rod Mayer	DWR	security measures should be included in the emergency plan to inhibit acts of vandalism or terrorism during high water	Comment noted. Inserted as the 5th bullet in the second set of bullets on page 32 [final version page 45] section B.1